

Building Brain Circuits

Experiences with shuffling terabytes of data over MPI

MUG'20 : August 26, 2020



Matthias Wolf, Nicolas Cornu, Pramod Kumbhar, James King High Performance Computing Team, Blue Brain Project

Overview

Background & Motivation

• Blue Brain Project, use cases

Brain Circuits

• Reconstruction from biological data

TouchDetector

• Algorithm and implementation

MPI Benchmarks

• Comparison of HPE-MPT, MVAPICH2

Summary and Future Work

• Next steps, improvements



• University in Lausanne, Switzerland

One of the two Swiss federal institutes
 -11k students, ~5

~11k students, ~5k academic staff



BBP started in 2005 @ BMI
 Moved to Campus Biotech ~4 years ago

Entrée principale CB : Hub for Life Sciences

Campus Biotech

9 Chemin des Mines

Parking Car park

Livraisons Deliveries

Project Timeline and Goals

Simulate the brain

- Focus on the rat, as structural close to human
- Initial groundwork before 2010 with 10k cells





Blue Brain Project

Comprehensive approach to systematically create unifying models of brain circuits by

- reverse engineering biological components
- construction models of the biophysics





Blue Brain 5

Located at CSCS in Lugano

- ~200 Skylake nodes
- ~800 Cascade Lake nodes
- 100Gbit EDR InfiniBand, fat tree
- Auxiliary GPU nodes
- 6 Pb storage in GPFS
- Burst Buffer (IME)

- Vendor provides HPE-MPI
- We also provide MVAPICH2 (faster IME support)
- Want improve performance, avoid lock-in



Brain Circuits

From in-vitro to in-silico

Obtaining input data from lab experiments...















Data Driven Reconstruction Workflow



- Create variations of neuron types
- Populate volume according to biological type distribution
- Establish connectivity

An example of morphologically detailed Neocortex circuit

- #Cells : ~9.3 million
- **#Compartments**: ~3.5 billion
- **#Synapses :** ~145.8 billion
- #Channel types : ~18
- Total memory requirement for simulation: ~169 TB



TouchDetector

Assembling circuits using distributed computing

Neurons, Morphologies and Synapses

- Neuron: nerve cell
- Morphology: physical shape of a neuron
 - Central part (soma) can be represented as a sphere
 - Branches (axon, dendrite) are simplified to sequences of cylinders
- Touch: region of physical proximity between neuron



source: https://bit.ly/3gqau6V

 Synapse: punctual chemical or electrical connection between neurons

Building the Neural Connectome

Connections between the branches of neurons:

- Model the branches of neurons as sequences of cylinders
- Some branches have **only outgoing** connections
- Some branches have **only incoming** connections

Every connection is based on cylinder overlap and saved as the projection on the cylinder axis.



Building the Neural Connectome

Cylinders can be very uneven in size:

• Can result in dense clusters based only on representation

- Post-process connections between two branches
- Re-distribute connections to match biological spacing

Requires collecting all touches between two neurons



Scaling up: Distributed Spatial Index

- Load neuron metadata
- Load shape information
- Shift and rotate shapes
- Sort branches, assign to ranks
- Transfer data to ranks





Scaling up: Neuron Overlap

- Process batches
- Every rank does the same
- Overlapping communication



Algorithm Summarized

- 1. Load one side of all neurons
 - Create a distributed spatial index
- 2. Load batches of the other side of neurons
 - Remote overlap detection
 - Collect data
 - Redistribute
 - Write to disk





MPI Communication Challenges

Large amounts of data transferred e.g. for 10 mio neurons:

- 1. ~2 TB total, ~500 Mb per rank via all-to-all
- 2. ~60 TB total distributed to other ranks Average message size ~0.2 MB
- 3. ~100 TB total collected from other ranks

Communication pattern is not fixed after setup

- Need to send data to 10-40% of the ranks
- Partners vary depending on data loaded, spatial index location



Profiling communication

- MPI calls take up a sizeable amount of time
- > 50% of time consumed within MPI
- ~ 20% just receiving data

TAU: ParaProf: Mean Statistics				- 0	×
File Options Windows Help					
Name	Exclusive TIME ∇	Inclusive TIME	Calls	Child C	
TAU application	4,097.98	7,648.951	1	\$42,727	7 🔺
🕶 🔄 MPI_Probe()	2,698.893	2,698.893	20,230.885		0
- MPI_Recv()	817.767	817.767	76,331.073		0
MPI_Allreduce()	10.787	10.787	31	-	0 =
MPI_File_write_at()	7.398	7.398	281.337		0
• MPI_Allgather()	3.722	3.722	7.003		0
🕶 🗖 pthread_barrier_wait	3.508	3.509	15.826	0.4	424
MPI_Isend()	3.496	3.496	76,186.934		0
MPI_File_open()	1.546	1.546	2		2
← MPI_Alltoallv()	0.813	0.813	7		0
• MPI_Bcast()	0.593	0.593	80		0
MPI_Allgatherv()	0.436	0.436	2		0
← MPI_Alltoall()	0.357	0.357	7		0
MPI_File_close()	0.335	0.335	2		0
MPI_Iprobe()	0.253	0.253	100,001		0 🚽

Communication Volume

- Intrinsic imbalance
- Central ranks have higher volume
- No clear pattern



Algorithmic Abstraction

Whatever should go here?

MPI Communication Challenges

Extract the essential data transfer pattern

- Need to send data to 5-40% of the ranks
- Partners vary depending on data loaded, spatial index location

Data transferred and partners vary depending on problem size and number of ranks



Simplifying the Communication Pattern

Representative abstraction

- Remove input data dependence
- Remove one-sided MPI calls
- Send data, no computations

Knobs to tune

- Fraction of ranks
- Randomization
- Data size to be sent



First performance numbers

- With fixed partners : >=256 nodes performance was significantly slower than vendor MPI
- With random partners : even more penalty if communication partners change every iteration





Why is the performance so low?



• Allreduce calls showing high cost but doesn't make sense

		_				hpctrac	ceviewer: /	Users/kum	bhar/Downloa
🚝 Trace View	iteratio								1
Time Range: [0s, 1		kange: [8	.0, 5114.2]	Cross Ha	air: (14.279)	s, 4493 1)			
	here Mars	Sum.	Tille-	Page-	No.	an and the	Station.	Sec.	
		-		No.	and the second	CONTRACTOR -	- Management	Real Property lies	- 104.000
This are but		-9.Ch-	The C	-	State State		COMP-	2. See	Robert -
4000			200	35	-	(Treasure)	1	W.F.	-
			- Williamson	- 1	Contraction of the local division of the loc		- Million append	- Marine	Station statements
And the second second		1. Carlos Ma	·	-				- 10 A - 4	E Martine
-									
Aler est	de la fraziona	States.	and the second	2. Ca 2.	-		Service .		- 10 St -
Same Va	Se se se	and the second	Sec. Car	- Andrews	* 100 M	No. 1 Sec.	1	1. Sec. 19	Contraction of the second
	-			_					
<u> 1997 - 199</u>	and the second	a sinte a		1 40	212 2	- Minder	a la com	1000	
March Contract	-	-		-	CORR AND	Laural and the second		-	SAUGTRON-
	Contraction of the local division of the loc			: Water.	B. Die		- Andrews		
	-	In Case of Case		Same	and the second second second			- Philesetter	- Constant
and and	Score-Score-Secore-	2 Martine		· Zimentaniy-	- 12388 8-			- South Carling	
-		and in		STATE-	Contrast.	- 300	-	T Malana	
		122.00	Contraction of the second	Same-	1000		F C C C		
The second second		1.121/2-		State-	1000	• *	Carlos and	1.1	- 2000-



Timeline overview of all MPI processes

Performance after initial fixes / tuning

• New buffer registration during every iteration - performance degradation with buffer registration!

```
for(.....) {
    std::vector<double> data(bufsize);
    ....
    MPI_lsend(data.data(), ....);
}
```

```
std::vector<double> data(bufsize);
for(.....) {
    ....
    MPI_lsend(data.data(), ....);
}
```

- Pre-allocation of buffer could solve the problem but in practice we need dynamic buffer sizes
- New version of MVAPICH2-X with fixes now provides consistent behaviour





Improvements for collectives

- HPE-MPT uses proprietary implementation of xpmem
- Different from Cray implementation which used by other MPI implementations including MVAPICH2
 - But same kernel module loaded on the nodes
 - Can HPE's xpmem and Cray's xpmem active on the same node?
- Currently activated Cray's xpmem implementation on subset of machine for testing



Comparison of MPI_Allreduce latency on 256 nodes (40ppn, 10,240 ranks)



• Heavy reliance on MPI communications

• Problematic variance in data sizes and communication partners

- MVAPICH2 is a viable alternative to HPE-MPI
 - Requires tuning via environment variables
 - Setup dependent on use-case?
 - Strong support for better performance

Future Work

• Complete benchmarking communication patterns, tuning of parameters

• Resume testing MVAPICH with the full version of TouchDetector

• Test integration with IME burst buffer in real-world scenario







https://go.epfl.ch/bluebrain-careers / Email Us !

Blue Brain Project Digitally reconstructing and simulating the brain



Thank you!