



Asynchronism in MPI Intra-Node Communications

Hyun-Wook Jin Pu-Rum Seo

System Software Laboratory Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering Konkuk University jinh@konkuk.ac.kr





Contents

- Background and motivation
- Asynchronism in MPI intra-node communications
 - Asynchronous nonblocking data copy
 - Asynchronous blocking progress engine
- Concluding remark





BACKGROUND & MOTIVATION





I/O Models

- Synchronous blocking I/O
 - Application blocks until the I/O system call is complete
- Synchronous nonblocking I/O
 - I/O command may not be satisfied immediately, requiring that the application makes calls to await completion







I/O Models

- Asynchronous blocking I/O
 - Application interrogates the readiness of multiple descriptors by using select/poll before I/O calls
- Asynchronous nonblocking I/O
 - Application can perform other processing while the background I/O operation completes

| | Blocking | Nonblocking |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Synchronous | Read/Write | Read/Write (O_NONBLOCK) |
| Asynchronous | I/O Multiplexing (Select/Poll) | Asynchronous I/O (AIO) |



Data Copies in MPI

Shared memory channel

- Moves messages from source to destination via a shared memory region
- Small messages based on eager protocol
- Memory mapping channel
 - Directly moves messages from source to destination without intermediate copies by means of a kernel level support
 - Large messages based on rendezvous protocol
 - CMA, LIMIC2, XPMEM, ...









CPU-based Data Movement

- Data copy operations in intra-node communications are performed by CPU
 - CPU resources are wasted for communication
 - CPU-based copying hinders overlapping of computation and communication

| | Blocking | Nonblocking |
|--------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| Synchronous | CPU-based Data Movement | |
| Asynchronous | | |





Our Goal #1

- Introducing asynchronism to data copy
 - Overlapping between computation and communication
 - Copy engine (CE)-based data movement
 - Asynchronous nonblocking data copy







Event Processing in MPI

- One-to-one mapping between processes and CPU cores
 - In HPC systems, the runtime solely dedicates a CPU core to each parallel process
 - Parallel programming libraries are optimized on the assumption that a parallel process occupies an entire CPU core
- MPI progress engine
 - Performs busy-waiting to check the completion of outstanding communications







Busy-Waiting-based Event Processing

- The longer the busy-waiting time, the higher the energy consumption
 - Nonuniformity of network latency
 - Asynchronous semantics in APIs
 - Load imbalance

| | Blocking | Nonblocking |
|--------------|----------|--|
| Synchronous | | Busy-Waiting-based Event Processing |
| Asynchronous | | |





Our Goal #2

- Introducing asynchronism to progress engine
 - Energy efficiency
 - Blocking-based event processing
 - Asynchronous blocking progress engine







Asynchronism in MPI Intra-Node Communications

ASYNCHRONOUS NONBLOCKING DATA COPY





Copy Engines

- A special-purpose processor that can independently access memory and copy data
 - Does not cause cache pollution compared to the CPU-based memory copy
 - Examples
 - Intel Xeon (I/O Acceleration Technology)
 - AMD EPYC
- We can offload copy operations performed by CPU onto the copy engine
 - Can save CPU resources
 - Can improve overlapping of computation and communication





Related Work

- Exploiting I/OAT
 - [IPDPS07, Cluster07, ICPP09]
 - Additional process/thread that takes full charge of managing the copy engine for intra-node data movements and monopolizes a CPU core
- No support for collective communication
 - Only for point-to-point communication or one-sided communication





Asynchronous Nonblocking Data Copy

- We aim at exploiting copy engines for intra-node MPI blocking collective communications
 - MPI_Bcast
 - MPI_Gather
- Asynchronous nonblocking data copy
 - CE-based approach
 - CE-CPU Hybrid approach
 - Enhancement of CPU-based approach





Synchronous Blocking Semantics

• Traditional collective interfaces

- Do not return its control to user application until the collective communication is completed
- Progress engine performs busy waiting to poll the completion or data copying to move data
- No overlapping between computation and communication

• Our collective interfaces

- Return asynchronously though the collective communication is not completed
- Application can perform computation while the collective communication is in progress (by the copy engine)
- Reserve synchronous blocking semantics by utilizing the memory protection mechanism (segmentation fault)





Core-to-Channel Mapping

- There can be multiple copy engines in the same node, and each copy engine provides several channels
 - Our experimental system
 - Two copy engines, each of which provides eight channels
 - The copy engine processes requests in channels in a round-robin fashion
- Core-to-channel mapping

– In a round-robin manner for each NUMA node





 P_{i-1}

Ch_{i-1}

 P_{i-1}

Ch_{j-}∕ 18

 P_0

(1)

 P_1

Ch₁

Ch₁

(3)

 Ch_2

3

Ch₂

Copy Engine (CE)-based Approach

• Step 1

 Communication buffers are locked, and their descriptors (physical addresses of page frames and length) are sent to leaf processes

• Step 2

- Leaf processes insert requests to channel

• Step 3

- Copy engines move messages





CE-CPU Hybrid Approach

• Hybrid approach

- Uses CPU to move data when lowering the overhead is more important than overlapping
- Segmentation fault handler switches the copy device from copy engine to CPU
- Virtual queues
 - We can neither preempt nor cancel the DMA request already submitted to a channel
 - Provide a mechanism that switches from the CE mode to the CPU mode in the middle of data movements
 - A DMA request for a collective communication is fragmented into several requests, each of which include vectors for only *n* pages
 - A callback function invoked whenever a fragmented request is completed moves fragmented requests in virtual queues to channels





Enhancement of CPU-based approach

- Existing design
 - Both memory mapping and copy operations are done on the receiver side
- New design
 - Segregates memory mapping and copy operations
 - The root process performs memory mapping, and the leaf processes perform data copy





Performance Measurements

• Experiment system

- NUMA-based multi-core system
 - Two Intel Xeon 3.10 GHz 10-core Haswell processors
 - DDR4 128 GB memory
 - Crystal Beach DMA v3.2 copy engine
- Linux kernel version 5.3.7
- Intel QuickData Technology Driver 5.00

Comparisons

- Default approach (MVAPICH2 version 2.3.7)
- Enhanced CPU-based approach (MVAPICH2 version 2.3)
- CE-CPU hybrid approach (MVAPICH2 version 2.3)





OSU Micro-Benchmark

- MPI_Bcast
 - Enhanced CPU-based approach outperforms the existing CPU-based approach and reduces the latency of MPI_Bcast up to 67%







OSU Micro-Benchmark

• MPI_Gather

 Enhanced CPU-based approach reduces the latency of MPI_Gather up to 85%







Overlapping with Computation

• MPI_Bcast

- The enhanced CPU-based approach and the CE-CPU hybrid approach could reduce the overall execution time up to 45% and 58%, respectively
- 20-process case with 4, 8, and 16 MB messages







Overlapping with Computation

• MPI_Gather

- The enhanced CPU-based approach and the CE-CPU hybrid approach could reduce the execution time up to 63% and 65%, respectively
- 20-process case with 4, 8, and 16 MB messages







Asynchronism in MPI Intra-Node Communications

ASYNCHRONOUS BLOCKING PROGRESS ENGINE





CPU Power Management States

- Dynamic Voltage and Frequency Scaling (DVFS)
 - Provides different levels of voltage and frequency for operating processors
 - P-states (ACPI)
 - P0: Maximum power and frequency
 - Pn: Less than P(n–1) voltage and frequency scaled
- Core-Idling
 - Turns off hardware components of idle cores
 - C-states (ACPI)
 - C0: Active
 - C1: Halt
 - C2: Stop-clock
 - C3: Sleep





Related Work

• Decision policies

- EAM [SC'15]
 - Estimates the duration of MPI and communication phases based on temporal execution patterns
 - Interrupt-based core-idling
- COUNTDOWN [ToC 2021]
 - Intercepts MPI calls and uses a time-out strategy for DVFS
 - Countdown Slack [TPDS 2020]
- EAR/EARL [Cluster 2020]
 - Detects iterative regions and maintains application signatures by intercepting MPI calls
 - Decides the CPU frequency based on an energy model
- No support for core-idling on intra-node communication channels





Asynchronous Blocking Progress Engine

- We aim to provide a framework that efficiently supports core-idling over multiple MPI communication channels
 - Intra-node communication channels
 - Shared memory
 - Memory mapping
- Asynchronous Blocking Progress Engine
 - Framework for energy-efficient MPI
 - Asynchronous blocking intra-node communication
 - Integration with blocking inter-node communication





Framework for Energy Efficient MPI

Interfaces

- APIs
 - MPI_Energy_handler_reg()
 - int *(enter_function) (MPI_Energy_Info*)
 - MPI_Energy_handler_dereg()
 - Application can change the policy at runtime
- Hooking of MPI calls
 - MPI_Init() and MPI_Finalize()
 - No application-level modification is required







Framework for Energy Efficient MPI

- Internal runtime information
 - Ranks
 - Communication channel
 - Message size
 - Number of busy-waiting iterations
 - Current busy-waiting time
 - Last busy-waiting time







Signal-based Blocking Communication

- CPU dependent implementation
 - Assembly instructions (e.g., mwait)
- CPU independent implementation
 - Timers: only for coarse-grained controls
 - Semaphores: deadlock-prone
 - Signals: lossy
 - Easy to support callback functions
 - Flexible enough to support the inter-node communication channel
 - Able to leverage existing decision policies used in DVFS and core-idling approaches





Signaling Points

- Shared memory channel
 - When a shared buffer becomes available
 - When a new message is arrived







Signaling Points

- Memory mapping channel
 - When a control message of rendezvous protocol arrives









Integration with Blocking Inter-Node Communication

- Blocking inter-node communication
 - MV2_USE_BLOCKING
- epoll-based integration
 - File descriptors
 - Signal for intra-node communication
 - Completion channel for inter-node communication







Performance Measurements

• Experiment system

- Two ARM-based multi-core systems
 - Ampere eMAG 8180 (ARMv8) 3 GHz 32-core processor
 - DDR4 250 GB memory
- NVIDIA ConnectX-5 InfiniBand adapter
- Linux kernel version 5.4.0-156-generic
- Wattman HPM-100A power meter
- Comparisons
 - Default blocking mode
 - MVAPICH2 version 2.3.7 with MV2_USE_BLOCKING
 - Our energy efficient framework
 - MVAPICH2 version 2.3.1





OSU Micro-Benchmark

• MPI_Alltoall

- Execution time: 43.4% reduction (1 MB)
- Energy consumption: 41.8% saving (1 MB)







OSU Micro-Benchmarks

• MPI_Allreduce

- Execution time: 28.1% reduction (128 KB)
- Energy consumption: 28.9% saving (128 KB)







NAS Parallel Benchmarks

- Class C
 - Execution time: 16.5% reduction (CG)
 - Energy consumption: 14.9% saving (CG)







CONCLUDING REMARK





Conclusions

- Asynchronous nonblocking data copy
 - A scheme to exploit multiple copy engines and CPUs for intranode MPI collective communications
 - J.-Y. Cho, P.-R. Seo, and H.-W. Jin, "Exploiting Copy Engines for Intra-Node MPI Collective Communication," The Journal of Supercomputing, May 2023
- Asynchronous blocking progress engine
 - A framework for better supports for energy-aware decision policies over multiple MPI communication channels
 - K.-W. Kim, H.-W. Jin, and E.-K. Byun, "Core-idling on MPI Intranode Communication Channels for Energy Efficiency," SC'21, Poster, November 2021





Future Work

- Asynchronous nonblocking data copy
 - Other collective calls
 - Blocking and nonblocking collective communications
 - Integration with inter-node communication
 - Measurement with real applications
- Asynchronous blocking progress engine
 - Various policies
 - Measurement with real applications