DUELING FOR GOLD

In the Southwest during the Civil War, a mysterious stranger, Joe (Clint Eastwood), and a Mexican outlaw, Tuco (Eli Wallach), form an uneasy partnership — Joe turns in the bandit for the reward money, then rescues him just as he is being hanged. When Joe’s shot at the noose goes awry during one esc... More

Release date: December 29, 1967 (USA)
Director: Sergio Leone
Featured song: The Good, the Bad and the Ugly
Music composed by: Ennio Morricone
DUELING FOR POWER
The three chaps still around

SUMMIT
150-300 PFLOPS
Peak Performance

SIERRA
> 100 PFLOPS
Peak Performance

IBM POWER9 CPU + NVIDIA Volta GPU +
Mellanox Connect HCA

NVLink High Speed Interconnect

>40 TFLOPS per Node, >3,400 Nodes

2017
ENERGY SPENT MOVING DATA

B. Dally, 2015

Power budget is fixed by thermal ~ 300W

Keep data close to FP/INT ops, share data, caching, hierarchical design integration, multi chip packages, HBM

Optimize data movement, NIC on package
KEEP DATA CLOSE ...

SW programming model can contribute

UVA, single address space, still needs cudaMemcpy

UVM lite, move data closer to accessor, with limitations

UVM full, simultaneous access, atomics

goals:

usability

performance, e.g. transparent data movement

broaden design space, e.g. platform dependent optimizations, cache coherency
FOR CLUSTERS?
GETTING RID OF THE CPU
past and current efforts

APEnet+, NaNet, NaNet-10 D.Rossetti et al.
PEACH2, T.Hanawa, T.Boku, et al.
GGAS, H.Fröning, L.Oden
project Donard, S.Bates
GPUnet, GPUfs, M. Silberstein et al.
...
challenges: optimize data movement, be friendly to the SIMT model
GETTING RID OF THE CPU

a tentative road map ...

A. plain comm
B. GPU-aware comm: MVAPICH2, Open MPI
C. CPU-prepared, CUDA stream triggered: Offloaded MPI + Async
D. CPU-prepared, CUDA SM triggered: in-kernel comm APIs
E. CUDA SM: PGAS/SHMEM/RMA
(C) OFFLOADED MP(I)
Async as optimization

while (!done) {
    mp_irecv (... , rreq)
    pack_boundary <<<...,stream1>>> (buf)
    compute_interior <<<...,stream2>>> (buf)
    mp_isend_on_stream(..., sreq, stream1)
    mp_wait_on_stream (rreq, stream1)
    unpack_boundary <<<...,stream1>>> (buf)
    compute_boundary <<<...,stream1>>> (buf)
    //synchronize between CUDA streams
}
mp_wait_all(sreq)
cudaDeviceSynchronize()

two implementations
• baseline, CUDA Async APIs
• HW optimized, need NIC features

helps strong scaling
(D) CUDA SM TRIGGERED COMMS

CPU Code

mp::mlx5::send_desc_t tx_descs[n_tx];
mp::mlx5::send_desc_t rx_descs[n_rx];
for(...) {
    mp Ireceive(recv_buf, nbytes, rreq);
    mp::mlx5::get_descriptors(&rx_descs[i])
    mp_send_prepare(send_buf, nbytes, peer, reg, sreq);
    mp::mlx5::get_descriptors(&tx_descs[i], sreq);
} fused_pack_unpack<<<>>>(…);

CUDA code

__global__ fused_pack_unpack(desc desc, txbuf, rxbuf) {
    block_id = elect_block();
    if (block_id < n_pack_nthrs) {
        pack(send_buf);
        __threadfence();
        last_block = elect_block();
        if (last_block && threadIdx.x < n_tx)
            mp::device::mlx5::send(tx_descs[…]);
        __syncthreads();
    }
    else { block_id -= n_pack_threads;
        if (!block_id) {
            if (threadIdx.x < n_tx) {
                mp::device::mlx5::wait(rx_descs[…]);
                __syncthreads();
                if (threadIdx.x == 0) sched.done = 1;
            }
            while (ThreadLoad<LOAD_CG>(&sched.done));
        }
    }
    unpack(recv_buf);
Long running CUDA kernels

Communication within parallel region

```c
__global__ void 2dstencil (u, v, sync, …)
{
    for(timestep = 0; …) {
        if (i+1 > nx) {
            v[i+1] = shmem_float_g (v[1], rightpe);
        }
        if (i-1 < 1) {
            v[i-1] = shmem_float_g (v[nx], leftpe);
        }
        u[i] = (u[i] + (v[i+1] + v[i-1] . . .

        if (i < 2) {
            shmem_int_p (sync + i, 1, peers[i]);
            shmem_quiet();
            shmem_wait_until (sync + i, EQ, 1);
        }
        //intra-kernel sync
    ...
}
```
PROGRAMMING MODEL

A,B,C,D: pt-to-pt, RMA for coarse-grained communications
   e.g. avoid many small transfers
E: RMA, SHMEM for fine-grained communications
   e.g. avoid many multi-word PUT/GET

Have all guarantees? e.g. I/O vs compute
   GPUs have loose consistency, SW enforced
FAT NODES

two separate networks, more power...

why not one?
CONCLUSIONS

Three chaps compete for power

Aim: maximize Flops given technology constraints

Can we get rid of (at least) one?
GAME OVER